

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/699,532 | 10/31/2003 | Carlos Alberto Bonilla | 200309110-1 | 2707 |
| 22879 | 7590 06/02/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| | PACKARD COMPANY | BHAT, ADITYA S | | |
| | 400, 3404 E. HARMONY I | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 | | | 2863 | THE DIVINOUS DE |

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/699,532 | BONILLA, CARLOS ALBERTO | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Aditya S. Bhat | 2863 | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 O</u> | <u>ctober 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or claim(s) are subject to restriction are claim are subject to restriction are claim are subject to restriction are subject are claim are subject are subject are subject are subject | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11. | : a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/699,532

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a, e) as being anticipated by Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238).

With regards to claims 1 and 8 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses a method & computer readable instructions for managing a testing task, said method comprising:

receiving a plurality of test cases to run, each test case including a plurality of requirements for running said respective test case; (Page 2, Paragraph 0043)

receiving an identification of a group of available test systems on which to run said test cases; (Page 2,Paragraph 0037)

for each test case, determining a list of applicable test systems from said group that satisfy said requirements of said respective test case; (Page 1,Paragraph 0010)

automatically selecting and starting test cases to run based on each respective list and said available test systems so that as many test cases as possible are run in parallel; (Page 1,Paragraph 0013) and

Art Unit: 2863

when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, automatically selecting and starting an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective list and said available test systems. (Page 1, Paragraph 0014)

With regards to claims 2, 9 & 17, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses for each available test system, determining a plurality of attributes of said respective available test system. (Page 2, Paragraph 0034)

With regards to claims 3, 10, and 18, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses keeping track of a status of each test case. (Page 1, Paragraph 0016)

With regards to claims 4,11 and 19,Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses completing said testing task when test cases that could have run on said available test systems have finished running. (Page 3, Paragraph 0048)

With regards to claim 5, 12 and 20 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses displaying results of said test cases. (Page 3,Paragraph 0046)

With regards to claims 6,13 and 21 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses automatically selecting and starting test cases to run includes: for each test case, creating a real test system name file. Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) teaches using "the required testing files" (Page 3, Paragraph 0051) therefore it would be within reasonable interpretation for one skilled in the art to name or some method of identifying these files in order to differentiate between the plurality of files.

With regards to claims 7, 14 and 20 Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses initializing a work directory for each test case. (1000;Refer to figure 2)

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claim 15, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses system comprising:

- a plurality of available test systems; (Refer to figure 1)
- a controller for controlling said available test systems;(4000;Refer to figure 1) and

a test driver for receiving a plurality of test cases, each test case including a plurality of requirements for running said respective test case, wherein said test driver matches said available test systems with said test cases based on said requirements, and wherein said test driver selects and starts test cases to run so that as many test cases as possible are run in parallel based on said available test systems and said requirements. (Page 1, Paragraph 0011-0014)

With regards to claim 16, Hsieh et al. (USPUB 2003/0093238) discloses when any test case finishes running and releases a test system to said group of available test systems, said test driver selects and starts an additional test case to run if possible based on said respective requirements and said available test systems. (Page 1, Paragraph 0014)

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hori et al. (USPN 6,778,934) teaches automatic measuring apparatus, automatic measurement data processing and control apparatus, network system and recording medium of automatic measurement processing and control program that selects from a plurality of test conditions, Eden et al (USPUB

2005/0021274) teaches a method and system for information handling system automated and distributed test, and Inda et al. (USPN 6,792,396) Interface device and method for a monitoring network

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-2270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aditya S. Bhat May 27, 2005

John Berlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800